

[General Building Materials (CCS/22/080)]

Tender Evaluation Matrix

[Lot 1]

DATA IN THESE ROWS SHOULD NOT BE DELETED OR ALTERED. ROWS CAN BE HIDDEN FOR PURPOSES OF PRINTING OR PRESENTATION.

Lowest Price
£0.00

Method Statement Scores	5
	4
	3
	2
	1
	0

Stage 1 - Selection Questionnaire		Tenderer 1:		Tenderer 2:		Tenderer 3:		Tenderer 4:		Tenderer 5:		Tenderer 6:		Tenderer 7:										
		Pass / Fail	Comments	Pass / Fail	Comments	Pass / Fail	Comments	Pass / Fail	Comments	Pass / Fail	Comments	Pass / Fail	Comments	Pass / Fail	Comments									
Part 1: Potential Supplier Information		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass										
Part 2: Section 1 Grounds for Mandatory Exclusion		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass										
Part 2: Section 2 Discretionary Grounds for Mandatory Exclusion		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass										
Part 3: Selection Questions		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass										
Stage 2 - Tender Stage		Question No.	Weight (%)	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score			
Award Criteria																								
Quality Scores																								
1. Ordering Process and Deliveries to Sites		1	10.0%	4	Detailed Response - good prospect for contracted/partnership process	8.0%	4	Comprehensive and relevant response	8.0%	5	Exemplary Response-Response fully meets Council's requirement	10.0%	4	Comprehensive Response, meets the council's requirement and have detailed information	8.0%	4	Comprehensive Response-Good Understanding or requirement	8.0%	3	Acceptable response-more details required	6.0%	5	Exemplary Response- Meet Council's requirement in all aspects	10.0%
2.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
3.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
4.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
5.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
6.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
7.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
8.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
9.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
10.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
11.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
12.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
13.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
14.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
15.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
Total			10%		Disqualified	8.0%			8.0%			10.0%			8.0%			8.0%			6.0%			10.0%
Price Scores																								
			90%			11.1%			90.00%			74.8%			71.2%			63.3%			82.2%			84.5%
Total			90%			11.1%			90.0%			74.8%			71.2%			63.3%			82.2%			84.5%
Overall Scores																								
Overall Score			100%			19.1%			98.0%			84.8%			79.2%			71.3%			88.2%			94.5%
Rank						7			1			4			5			6			3			2

[General Building Materials (CCS/22/080)]

Tender Evaluation Matrix

[Lot 2]

DATA IN THESE ROWS SHOULD NOT BE DELETED OR ALTERED. ROWS CAN BE HIDDEN FOR PURPOSES OF PRINTING OR PRESENTATION.

Lowest Price
£0.00

Method Statement Scores	5
	4
	3
	2
	1
	0

		Tenderer 1:			Tenderer 2:			Tenderer 3:			Tenderer 4:			Tenderer 5:			Tenderer 6:			Tenderer 7:			Tenderer 8:				
Stage 1 - Selection Questionnaire		Pass / Fail	Comments		Pass / Fail	Comments		Pass / Fail	Comments		Pass / Fail	Comments		Pass / Fail	Comments		Pass / Fail	Comments		Pass / Fail	Comments		Pass / Fail	Comments			
Part 1: Potential Supplier Information		Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass				
Part 2: Section 1 Grounds for Mandatory Exclusion		Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass				
Part 2: Section 2 Discretionary Grounds for Mandatory Exclusion		Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass				
Part 3: Selection Questions		Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass				
Stage 2 - Tender Stage		Question No.	Weight (%)	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score			
Award Criteria																											
Quality Scores																											
1. Ordering Process and Deliveries to Sites		1	10.0%	4	Detailed Response - good prospect for contracted/partnership process	8.0%	4	Comprehensive and relevant response	8.0%	4	Comprehensive and relevant response	8.0%	5	Exemplary Response-Response fully meets Council's requirement	10.0%	4	Comprehensive Response, meets the council's requirement and have detailed information	8.0%	4	Comprehensive Response- Good Understanding or requirement	8.0%	3	Acceptable with minor issues and weaknesses	6.0%	5	Exemplary Response-Meet Council's requirement in all aspects	10.0%
2.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
3.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
4.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
5.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
6.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
7.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
8.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
9.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
10.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
11.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
12.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
13.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
14.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
15.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
Total			10%			8.0%			8.0%			8.0%			10.0%			8.0%			8.0%			6.0%			10.0%
Price Scores																											
			90%			90.0%			88.95%			86.3%			58.8%			72.2%			89.3%			87.7%			74.4%
Total			90%			90.0%			89.0%			86.3%			58.8%			72.2%			89.3%			87.7%			74.4%
Overall Scores																											
Overall Score			100%			98.0%			97.0%			94.0%			68.8%			80.2%			97.3%			93.7%			84.4%
Rank						1			3			4			8			7			2			5			6

[General Building Materials (CCS/22/080)]

Tender Evaluation Matrix

[Lot 3]

DATA IN THESE ROWS SHOULD NOT BE DELETED OR ALTERED. ROWS CAN BE HIDDEN FOR PURPOSES OF PRINTING OR PRESENTATION.

Lowest Price £0.00

Method Statement Scores	5
	4
	3
	2
	1
	0

		Tenderer 1:		Tenderer 2:		Tenderer 3:		Tenderer 4:		Tenderer 5:		Tenderer 6:									
Stage 1 - Selection Questionnaire		Pass / Fail	Comments	Pass / Fail	Comments	Pass / Fail	Comments	Pass / Fail	Comments	Pass / Fail	Comments	Pass / Fail	Comments								
Part 1: Potential Supplier Information		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass									
Part 2: Section 1 Grounds for Mandatory Exclusion		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass									
Part 2: Section 2 Discretionary Grounds for Mandatory Exclusion		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass									
Part 3: Selection Questions		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass									
Stage 2 - Tender Stage		Question No.	Weight (%)	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score			
Award Criteria																					
Quality Scores																					
1. Ordering Process and Deliveries to Sites		1	10.0%	4	Comprehensive and relevant response	8.0%	3	Acceptable - showed basic understanding of Council's requirement	6.0%	4	Comprehensive Response, meets the council's requirement and have detailed information	8.0%	4	Comprehensive Response- Good Understanding or requirement	8.0%	4	Comprehensive Response- support and with clear evidence	8.0%	5	Exemplary Response- Meet Council's requirement in all aspects	10.0%
2.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
3.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
4.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
5.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
6.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
7.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
8.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
9.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
10.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
11.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
12.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
13.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
14.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
15.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
Total			10%			8.0%			6.0%			8.0%			8.0%			8.0%			10.0%
Price Scores																					
			90%			76.8%			90.0%			68.2%			69.4%			70.2%			80.0%
Total			90%			76.8%			90.0%			68.2%			69.4%			70.2%			80.0%
Overall Scores																					
Overall Score			100%			84.8%			96.0%			76.2%			77.4%			78.2%			90.0%
Rank						3			1			6			5			4			2

[General Building Materials (CCS/22/080)]

Tender Evaluation Matrix

[Lot 4]

DATA IN THESE ROWS SHOULD NOT BE DELETED OR ALTERED. ROWS CAN BE HIDDEN FOR PURPOSES OF PRINTING OR PRESENTATION.

Lowest Price
£0.00

Method Statement Scores	5
	4
	3
	2
	1
	0

		Tenderer 1:				Tenderer 2:				Tenderer 3:				Tenderer 4:				Tenderer 5:				Tenderer 6:			
Stage 1 - Selection Questionnaire		Pass / Fail	Comments			Pass / Fail	Comments			Pass / Fail	Comments			Pass / Fail	Comments			Pass / Fail	Comments			Pass / Fail	Comments		
Part 1: Potential Supplier Information		Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass			
Part 2: Section 1 Grounds for Mandatory Exclusion		Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass			
Part 2: Section 2 Discretionary Grounds for Mandatory Exclusion		Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass			
Part 3: Selection Questions		Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass			
Stage 2 - Tender Stage		Question No.	Weight (%)	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/Price	Comments	Weighted Score	
Award Criteria																									
Quality Scores																									
1. Ordering Process and Deliveries to Sites		1	10.0%	4	Detailed Response - good prospect for contracted/partnership process	8.0%	4	Comprehensive and relevant response	8.0%	4	Comprehensive Response, meets the council's requirement and have detailed information	8.0%	3	Acceptable-Weaknesses in some area	6.0%	5	Exemplary response- understand the requirements completely	10.0%	5	Exemplary Response- Meet Council's requirement in all aspects	10.0%				
2.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
3.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
4.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
5.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
6.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
7.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
8.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
9.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
10.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
11.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
12.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
13.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
14.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
15.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
Total			10%		Disqualified	8.0%			8.0%			8.0%			6.0%			10.0%			10.0%			10.0%	
Price Scores																									
Total			90%			31.7%			68.30%			90.0%			79.9%			65.5%			81.2%			81.2%	
Overall Scores						39.7%			76.3%			98.0%			85.9%			75.5%			91.2%			91.2%	
Overall Score			100%			39.7%			76.3%			98.0%			85.9%			75.5%			91.2%			91.2%	
Rank						6			4			1			3			5			2			2	

[General Building Materials (CCS/22/080)]

Tender Evaluation Matrix

[Lot 5]

DATA IN THESE ROWS SHOULD NOT BE DELETED OR ALTERED. ROWS CAN BE HIDDEN FOR PURPOSES OF PRINTING OR PRESENTATION.

Lowest Price
£0.00

Method Statement Scores
5
4
3
2
1
0

		Tenderer 1:				Tenderer 2:				Tenderer 3:				Tenderer 4:				Tenderer 5:				Tenderer 6:				Tenderer 7:				Tenderer 8:			
Stage 1 - Selection Questionnaire		Pass / Fail	Comments			Pass / Fail	Comments			Pass / Fail	Comments			Pass / Fail	Comments			Pass / Fail	Comments			Pass / Fail	Comments			Pass / Fail	Comments						
Part 1: Potential Supplier Information		Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass							
Part 2: Section 1 Grounds for Mandatory Exclusion		Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass							
Part 2: Section 2 Discretionary Grounds for Mandatory Exclusion		Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass							
Part 3: Selection Questions		Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass				Pass							
Stage 2 - Tender Stage		Question No.	Weight (%)	Score/ Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/ Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/ Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/ Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/ Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/ Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/ Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/ Price	Comments	Weighted Score						
Award Criteria																																	
Quality Scores																																	
1. Ordering Process and Deliveries to Sites		1	10.0%	4	Detailed Response - good prospect for contracted/partnership process	8.0%	3	Limited Response- but acceptable	6.0%	4	Comprehensive and relevant response	8.0%	4	Comprehensive Response, meets the council's requirement and have detailed information	8.0%	3	Acceptable-minor issues, weaknesses	6.0%	4	Comprehensive Response-support and with clear evidence	8.0%	4	Comprehensive Response-meet councils requirement	8.0%	5	Exemplary Response-Meet Council's requirement in all aspects	10.0%						
2.					0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%		0.0%					
3.					0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%		0.0%					
4.					0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%		0.0%					
5.					0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%		0.0%					
6.					0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%		0.0%					
7.					0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%		0.0%					
8.					0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%		0.0%					
9.					0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%		0.0%					
10.					0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%		0.0%					
11.					0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%		0.0%					
12.					0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%		0.0%					
13.					0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%		0.0%					
14.					0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%		0.0%					
15.					0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%		0.0%					
Total			10%		Disqualified	8.0%		6.0%			8.0%			8.0%			6.0%			8.0%			8.0%			8.0%		10.0%					
Price Scores																																	
Total			90%		0.0%			38.04%			61.8%			57.8%			38.5%			56.7%			47.3%			47.3%		90.0%					
Total			90%		0.0%			38.0%			61.8%			57.8%			38.5%			56.7%			47.3%			47.3%		90.0%					
Overall Scores																																	
Overall Score			100%		8.0%			44.0%			69.6%			65.8%			44.5%			64.7%			55.3%			55.3%		100.0%					
Rank					8			7			2			3			6			4			5			5		1					

[General Building Materials (CCS/22/080)]

Tender Evaluation Matrix

[Lot 6]

DATA IN THESE ROWS SHOULD NOT BE DELETED OR ALTERED. ROWS CAN BE HIDDEN FOR PURPOSES OF PRINTING OR PRESENTATION.

Lowest Price
£0.00

Method Statement Scores	5
	4
	3
	2
	1
	0

			Tenderer 1:			Tenderer 2:			Tenderer 3:			Tenderer 4:			Tenderer 5:			Tenderer 6:			Tenderer 7:				
			Pass / Fail	Comments		Pass / Fail	Comments		Pass / Fail	Comments		Pass / Fail	Comments		Pass / Fail	Comments		Pass / Fail	Comments		Pass / Fail	Comments			
Stage 1 - Selection Questionnaire			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass				
Part 1: Potential Supplier Information			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass				
Part 2: Section 1 Grounds for Mandatory Exclusion			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass				
Part 2: Section 2 Discretionary Grounds for Mandatory Exclusion			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass				
Part 3: Selection Questions			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass				
Stage 2 - Tender Stage			Question No.	Weight (%)	Score/ Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/ Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/ Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/ Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/ Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/ Price	Comments	Weighted Score			
Award Criteria																									
Quality Scores																									
1. Ordering Process and Deliveries to Sites			1	10.0%	3	Acceptable-questions answered but without enough details	6.0%	4	Comprehensive and relevant response	8.0%	5	Exemplary Response-Response fully meets Council's requirement	10.0%	4	Comprehensive Response, meets the council's requirement and have detailed information	8.0%	4	Comprehensive Response-Good Understanding or requirement	8.0%	5	Exemplary Response-excellent level of relevance	10.0%	5	Exemplary Response-Meet Council's requirement in all aspects	10.0%
2.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
3.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
4.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
5.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
6.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
7.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
8.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
9.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
10.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
11.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
12.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
13.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
14.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
15.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%	
Total				10%			6.0%			8.0%			10.0%			8.0%			8.0%			10.0%		10.0%	
Price Scores																									
				90%			66.2%			68.57%			67.5%			54.8%			57.3%			56.1%		90.0%	
Total				90%			66.2%			68.6%			67.5%			54.8%			57.3%			56.1%		90.0%	
Overall Scores																									
Overall Score				100%			72.2%			76.6%			77.5%			62.8%			65.3%			66.1%		100.0%	
Rank							4			3			2			7			6			5		1	

[General Building Materials (CCS/22/080)]

Tender Evaluation Matrix

[Lot 9]

DATA IN THESE ROWS SHOULD NOT BE DELETED OR ALTERED. ROWS CAN BE HIDDEN FOR PURPOSES OF PRINTING OR PRESENTATION.

Lowest Price
£0.00

Method Statement Scores	5
	4
	3
	2
	1
	0

		Tenderer 1:			Tenderer 2:			Tenderer 3:			Tenderer 4:			Tenderer 5:				
Stage 1 - Selection Questionnaire		Pass / Fail	Comments		Pass / Fail	Comments		Pass / Fail	Comments		Pass / Fail	Comments		Pass / Fail	Comments			
Part 1: Potential Supplier Information		Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass				
Part 2: Section 1 Grounds for Mandatory Exclusion		Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass				
Part 2: Section 2 Discretionary Grounds for Mandatory Exclusion		Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass				
Part 3: Selection Questions		Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass			Pass				
Stage 2 - Tender Stage		Question No.	Weight (%)	Score/ Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/ Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/ Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/ Price	Comments	Weighted Score	Score/ Price	Comments	Weighted Score
Award Criteria																		
Quality Scores																		
1. Ordering Process and Deliveries to Sites		1	10.0%	4	Comprehensive Response- Show good understanding of Council's requirement	8.0%	4	Comprehensive and relevant response	8.0%	4	Comprehensive Response, meets the council's requirement and have detailed information	8.0%	4	Comprehensive Response- Good Understanding or requirement	8.0%	5	Exemplary Response- Meet Council's requirement in all aspects	10.0%
2.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
3.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
4.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
5.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
6.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
7.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
8.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
9.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
10.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
11.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
12.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
13.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
14.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
15.						0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%
Total			10%		Disqualified	8.0%			8.0%		Disqualified	8.0%			8.0%			10.0%
Price Scores																		
			90%			14.7%			49.46%			14.7%			90.0%			61.5%
Total			90%			14.7%			49.5%			14.7%			90.0%			64.5%
Overall Scores																		
Overall Score			100%			22.6%			57.5%			22.7%			98.0%			71.5%
Rank						4			3			5			1			2

Rating	Criteria	Score
Excellent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exemplary response. • Response fully meets the Council's requirements in all aspects and demonstrates an excellent understanding and an excellent level of capability/commitment. • There is an excellent level of relevance and detail within the response. • Complete confidence in abilities and excellent prospects for contractual or partnership success. 	5
Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comprehensive response • Response meets the Council's requirements in the majority of respects and demonstrates a good understanding of requirements and a good level of capability/commitment. • The response has relevant and detailed information, supported with clear evidence; with a few minor issues, weaknesses, or omissions. • High level of confidence in abilities and good prospects for contractual or partnership success. 	4
Acceptable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A broad response and demonstrates an acceptable level of information provided in relation to the Council's requirements. • Response meets the required standards, however, the response is not fully detailed or fully supported with clear evidence in some areas; some minor issues, weaknesses, or omissions in some areas. • Reasonable level of confidence and a fair probability of contractual or partnership success. 	3
Marginal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Response is limited and is lacking in relation to a significant proportion of the material elements including incorporation of the Council's requirements. • Possibly capable of delivering the requirements to the required standard but demonstrates a basic but limited understanding of requirements and has weaknesses and/or deficiencies. • Limited level of confidence and a possibility of contractual partnership success or success. 	2
Poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extremely limited response. • Response inconsistent and/or does not meet and/or limited ability to meet the required standard and does not incorporate the Council's requirements. • Very poor submission, the response has major weaknesses and issues. Response lacks detail, clarity and/or evidence. • Very limited level of confidence and low probability of contractual or partnership success. 	1
No Response	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Failed to provide a response; or the response provided is wholly inconsistent with the associated specified requirements and/or standards and failed to meet the criterion in all aspects. 	0

Commercial Services Financial Appraisal Summary

Annual Contract Value or Contract Value (£)	£ -
---	-----

Contract Title	INSERT CONTRACT TITLE
----------------	-----------------------

NOTES			
<p style="color: red; font-weight: bold;">Information can be found within Profit and Loss Account and/or D&B Report</p>	<p style="font-weight: bold;">Two years average turnover should equal or be greater than the value of the contract</p> <p style="font-size: small;">(<1 requires compelling reason/s to be provided as to why this is not a significant risk)</p>	<p style="font-weight: bold;">There should be a profit in each year. Refer to P&L Account and/or D&B Report</p> <p style="font-size: small;">(loss making in either or both of last two years requires compelling reason/s provided as to why this is not a significant risk)</p>	<p style="font-weight: bold;">Ideally 1.0 or > 1.0. Refer to D&B Report</p> <p style="font-size: small;">(<1.0 requires compelling reason/s to be provided as to why this is not a significant risk)</p>
		<p style="font-weight: bold;">Positive figure in both (or most recent) financial years. Refer to Balance Sheet and/or D&B Report</p> <p style="font-size: small;">(negative in most recent or both of last two years requires compelling reason/s provided as to why this is not a significant risk)</p>	

BIDDER NAME	INFORMATION PROVIDED IN SELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE					FINANCIAL APPRAISAL								OVERALL ASSESSMENT / COMMENTS
	COMPANY REGISTRATION No	DUNS REGISTRATION NUMBER	2 YEARS ACCOUNTS (Year Ending)	PERFORMANCE / PARENT / HOLDING COMPANY GUARANTEE / BOND	BANKERS GUARANTEE / REFERENCE	YEAR END	TURNOVER	Calculation Turnover to Value of Contract	Profit Before Interest and Tax (PBIT)	Acid Test Ratio	Net Assets	Audited Accounts	True and fair view (Audit Opinion)	

[Insert name here]	[Registration Number]	[DUNS Number]	20__	[Y/N]	[Y/N]	20__	20__	#DIV/0!		0.7	0			
												YES or NO or N/R	YES or NO or N/R	
COMMENTS														

[Insert name here]	[Registration Number]							#DIV/0!	0	0	0			
												YES or NO or N/R	YES or NO or N/R	
COMMENTS														

Please copy completed SQ's here. To do this run a scenario analysis in eTenderWales and copy the sh

meet here for audit purposes.